arXiv:1702.02338v1 [math-ph] 8 Feb 2017

Cusp singularity in mean field Ising model

Yayoi Abe[a], Muneyuki Ishida[b,†], Erika Nozawa[c], Ryoko Yahagi[d]

and

Takayoshi Ootsuka[e,††]

*

Physics Department, Ochanomizu University, 2-1-1 Ootsuka Bunkyo, Tokyo, Japan

† *Departmentof Physics, Meisei University,*

2-1-1 Hodokubo, Hino, Tokyo 191-8506, Japan

††*NPO Gakujutsu-Kenkyu Network, Japan*

(Dated: February 9, 2017)

An entropy of the Ising model in the mean field approximation is derived by the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism. We consider a grand canonical ensemble with respect to the temperature and the external magnetic field. A cusp arises at the critical point, which shows a simple and new geometrical aspect of this model. In educational sense, this curve with a cusp helps students acquire a more intuitive view on statistical phase transitions.

* [a] CQA11015@nifty.com[b] ishida@phys.meisei-u.ac.jp[c] g1640613@edu.cc.ocha.ac.jp[d] yahagi@hep.phys.ocha.ac.jp[e] ootsuka@

I. INTRODUCTION

Phase transitions, critical phenomena, and the corresponding critical exponents are fundamental topics in statistical mechanics. Though they should have a close relation with critical points of maps [1], catastrophe theory [2], and singularity theory [3, 4] in mathematics, there is little application to these simple physical problems. In these geometrical standpoints, it is natural to expect critical points to be singularities on a certain surface or a curve. A critical phenomenon, accompanied by the Hamilton-Jacobi structure, is simply visualized in the present paper. We take Ising model in the mean field approximation as an example.

Relations between thermodynamics and Hamilton-Jacobi theory have been discussed for many years [5–9]. However, they are mostly considered under the quasi-static conditions. Among them, one notable proposal was offered by Suzuki [10]. The second law of thermodynamics can be considered as a variational principle that determines reversible or irreversible processes. He recognized a Finsler structure in the variational principle, and identified the equation of state, or the virial relation, as the constraint which inevitably arises in the Finsler-Lagrangian formulation [10–12], and derived a Hamilton-Jacobi structure. The idea was supplemented by one of the authors [13].

Following Suzuki's method, we review the Finsler-Lagrangian formulation in the next section. In section 3, we apply this method to the mean field Ising model and show several graphs which reveal singularities at the critical points.

II. FINSLER-LAGRANGIAN FORMULATION AND SUZUKI'S HAMILTON-JACOBI THERMODYNAMICS

A. Review of Finsler-Lagrangian formulation

Here, we review a Finsler geometrical formulation of Lagrangian formalism, which we call Finsler-Lagrangian formulation [11–15]. Let $Q = \{(q^1, q^2, \ldots, q^n)\}$ be a configuration space and $L = L(q^i, \dot{q}^i, t)$ be a Lagrangian. It is well known that the Lagrangian L constructs a Finsler metric F on the extended configuration space $M := \mathbb{R} \times Q$ as

$$F = F(x^\mu, dx^\mu) := L\left(x^i, \frac{dx^i}{dx^0}, x^0\right) dx^0. \tag{II.1}$$

The set (M, F) becomes $(n+1)$-dimensional Finsler manifold. This technique is known as homogenization technique. In mathematics, Finsler metric should satisfy several conditions [16, 17], which are too strong for physical applications. We only assume 1) homogeneity of F and 2) domain of F as

$$1) \quad F(x, \lambda dx) = \lambda F(x, dx), \quad \lambda > 0, \tag{II.2}$$

$$2) \quad F : D(F) \subset TM \to \mathbb{R}, \tag{II.3}$$

where $D(F)$ is a subbundle of TM where F and its derivative is well defined. Time evolutions of the system are represented by oriented curves $\mathcal{C} = \{\boldsymbol{c}\}$ on the extended configuration space M. The action of the Lagrangian system is defined by a line integral of $F = F(x, dx)$ along an oriented curve $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\mathcal{A}[\boldsymbol{c}] = \int_{\boldsymbol{c}} F := \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} F\left(x^\mu(\tau), \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}(\tau)\right) d\tau, \tag{II.4}$$

where τ is an arbitrary parameter of $\boldsymbol{c}$. By homogeneity condition 1), $\mathcal{A}[\boldsymbol{c}]$ does not depend on the choice of the parametrization. The variational principle

$$0 = \delta\mathcal{A}[\boldsymbol{c}] = \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} \left\{ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^\mu}\delta x^\mu + \frac{\partial F}{\partial dx^\mu}\frac{d\delta x^\mu}{d\tau} \right\} d\tau = \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} \left\{ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial dx^\mu}\right) \right\} \delta x^\mu d\tau, \tag{II.5}$$

leads to a covariant Euler-Lagrange equation

$$0 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial dx^\mu}\right), \tag{II.6}$$

where $\dfrac{\partial F}{\partial x^\mu}\left(x(\tau), \dfrac{dx}{d\tau}(\tau)\right)$ and $\dfrac{\partial F}{\partial dx^\mu}\left(x(\tau), \dfrac{dx}{d\tau}(\tau)\right)$ are functions of $x^\mu(\tau)$ and $\dfrac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}(\tau)$. The important fact is the covariant Euler-Lagrange equation (II.6) is parametrization invariant. The homogeneity condition 1) is equivalent to the Euler's formula

$$F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial dx^\mu} dx^\mu, \tag{II.7}$$

and differentiating it with respect to dx^ν on both sides, we have

$$0 = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial dx^\mu \partial dx^\nu} dx^\mu = \frac{\partial p_\mu}{\partial dx^\nu} dx^\mu = \frac{\partial p_\nu}{\partial dx^\mu} dx^\mu, \tag{II.8}$$

where we define a covariant conjugate momentum

$$p_\mu := \frac{\partial F}{\partial dx^\mu}. \tag{II.9}$$

(II.8) indicates the matrix $\left(\dfrac{\partial p_\nu}{\partial dx^\mu}\right)$ does not have the inverse matrix. The inverse function theorem promises that there exists at least one constraint

$$G(x,p)=0, \tag{II.10}$$

among the variables (x^μ, p_μ).

When we consider a relativistic free particle on an $(n+1)$ dimensional Lorentzian manifold (M,g), we can take a Finsler metric on M as

$$F=mc\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu dx^\nu}. \tag{II.11}$$

In this case, we have a constraint

$$G(x,p)=g^{\mu\nu}(x)p_\mu p_\nu-(mc)^2=0. \tag{II.12}$$

For a non-relativistic particle under a potential force, the corresponding Finsler metric is

$$F=\frac{mg_{ij}(x)dx^i dx^j}{2dx^0}-V(x)dx^0,\quad (i,j=1,2,3), \tag{II.13}$$

and we get

$$G(x,p)=p_0+\frac{1}{2m}g^{ij}(x)p_ip_j+V(x)=0, \tag{II.14}$$

as a constraint.

Hamilton's principal function W is defined as a line integral along a solution curve of (II.6),

$$W(\xi_1;\xi_0):=\int_{\boldsymbol{c}_{\xi_0}^{\xi_1}}F=\int_{\boldsymbol{c}_{\xi_0}^{\xi_1}}p_\mu dx^\mu,\quad p_\mu=\frac{\partial F}{\partial dx^\mu}(x,dx), \tag{II.15}$$

where, $\boldsymbol{c}_{\xi_0}^{\xi_1}$ is a solution curve connecting between $\xi_0\in M$ and $\xi_1\in M$. When ξ_0 is fixed, W can be considered as a function on M: $W(\ \ ;\xi_0):\xi_1\in M\mapsto\mathbb{R}$. An infinitesimal transformation $\delta_{\varepsilon\boldsymbol{v}}=\varepsilon\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{v}}$, $\xi_1\mapsto\xi_1+\varepsilon\boldsymbol{v}$ which acts only the neighborhood of ξ_1 leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&W(\xi_1+\varepsilon\boldsymbol{v};\xi_0)-W(\xi_1;\xi_0)=\varepsilon\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{v}}W(\xi_1)=\varepsilon\iota_{\boldsymbol{v}}dW(\xi_1)\\&=\int_{\boldsymbol{c}_{\xi_0}^{\xi_1+\varepsilon\boldsymbol{v}}}p_\mu dx^\mu-\int_{\boldsymbol{c}_{\xi_0}^{\xi_1}}p_\mu dx^\mu=\varepsilon\iota_{\boldsymbol{v}}\left\{p_\mu\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\xi_1}\right)dx^\mu\right\}(\xi_1),\end{aligned} \tag{II.16}$$

for arbitrary $\boldsymbol{v}$. Here, $p_\mu(\dot{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\xi_1})$ stands for the quantity $p_\mu(x, dx)$ contracted by the velocity $\dot{\boldsymbol{c}}_\xi$ at ξ_1. Therefore, the principal function $W = W(\ \ ; \xi_0)$ admits the relation

$$dW = p_\mu dx^\mu, \qquad p_\mu = \frac{\partial W}{\partial x^\mu}. \tag{II.17}$$

The constraint $G(x, p) = 0$ becomes

$$G\left(x^\mu, \frac{\partial W}{\partial x^\mu}\right) = 0. \tag{II.18}$$

This is the covariant expression of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, which is necessarily derived from the homogeneity condition 1) in the Finsler-Lagrangian formulation.

B. Second law of thermodynamics as variational principle

Let δQ be the quantity of heat flowing into the system from the environment of temperature T^{ex} during an infinitesimal process, and dS be the difference of entropy between the initial and final equilibrium states. The second law of thermodynamics can be written as

$$dS \geqq \frac{\delta Q}{T^{ex}}. \tag{II.19}$$

If equality is satisfied, the thermal process is reversible. On the other hand, inequality represents irreversible process. We will assume the right-hand side is supposed to be given by an integration of some Finsler metric defined on thermodynamic state space $M = \{(U, V)\}$ [13]:

$$\int_{a\to b} \frac{\delta Q}{T^{ex}} = \int_{\boldsymbol{c}_a^b} F(U, V, dU, dV), \tag{II.20}$$

where $\boldsymbol{c}_a^b$ is a thermal process which is represented by an oriented curve on M. Reversible processes maximize this integral. Integral of $\dfrac{\delta Q}{T^{ex}}$ on a reversible process $\boldsymbol{c}_a^b$ becomes the entropy difference between a and b:

$$S(b) - S(a) = \int_{\boldsymbol{c}_a^b} \frac{\delta Q}{T^{ex}}, \tag{II.21}$$

and the maximal (stationary) integral of the RHS of (II.20) gives the Hamilton's principal function W. Therefore the Hamilton's principal function in thermodynamics is identical to the entropy function: $W = S$. Thus, we get the relation

$$dW = p_U dU + p_V dV = dS = \frac{1}{T} dU + \frac{p}{T} dV, \tag{II.22}$$

where p_U and p_V are conjugate momenta of U and V, and the third equality of (II.22) is the first law of thermodynamics. From the above equation (II.22), we can conclude the covariant conjugate momenta of (U, V) are

$$(p_U, p_V) = \left(\frac{1}{T}, \frac{p}{T}\right). \tag{II.23}$$

The constraint from the Finsler-Lagrangian formulation $G(x, p) = 0$ turns into an equation:

$$G\left(U, V, \frac{1}{T}, \frac{p}{T}\right) = 0. \tag{II.24}$$

Suzuki found out that this is the virial relation in thermodynamic system.

In the case of the ideal gas, it has the internal energy $U = \frac{3}{2}NkT$ and the equation of state $pV = NkT$, where N is the number of the gas particles, k the Boltzmann constant, T temperature, p pressure, V volume of the gas. Its virial relation is

$$U = \frac{3}{2}pV. \tag{II.25}$$

With (II.23), it becomes

$$G(U, V, p_U, p_V) = p_U U - \frac{3}{2}p_V V = 0. \tag{II.26}$$

From this virial equation and

$$p_U = \frac{\partial S}{\partial U}, \qquad p_V = \frac{\partial S}{\partial V}, \tag{II.27}$$

we can derive following Hamilton-Jacobi equation of the ideal gas:

$$U\frac{\partial S}{\partial U} - \frac{3V}{2}\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} = 0. \tag{II.28}$$

The solution of the partial differential equation (II.28) gives the entropy of the ideal gas

$$S = S(U, V) = \frac{3}{2}r\log U + r\log V + S_0, \tag{II.29}$$

where r and S_0 are constants. Using (II.27), we also have

$$p_U = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{3r}{2}\frac{1}{U}, \qquad p_V = \frac{p}{T} = \frac{r}{V}, \tag{II.30}$$

which reproduce the internal energy and state equation of the ideal gas. It is believed that the definition of the ideal gas needs both relations. However, the procedure of this section tells that only the virial relation is needed, and through the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, the rest follows.

III. ISING MODEL IN MEAN FIELD APPROXIMATION

We apply the formulation reviewed in section 2 to a spin system. The Hamiltonian of Ising model in the mean field approximation is expressed as

$$H = \frac{NJzm^2}{2} - Jzm\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i, \tag{III.1}$$

when there is no magnetic field. Here, N is the total site number, J the strength of the interaction, and z the coordination number. m is the expectation value of an Ising spin $S_i = \pm 1$, which admits the self-consistent equation. We start with the grand canonical ensemble

$$\Xi = \sum_{\text{configuration}} \exp\left[-\beta\left(\frac{NJzm^2}{2} - Jzm\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i\right) - \xi\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i\right], \tag{III.2}$$

where $\beta = \dfrac{1}{kT}$. ξ is a parameter related to the fluctuation of the total magnetization $M = Nm = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i \right\rangle$, and is proportional to the external magnetic field h. Throughout this section, we assume ξ to be nonzero, since an infinitesimally small magnetic field is necessary for the phase transition. The grand Massieu function $\Psi = k\log\Xi$, which is a function of β and ξ, generates the magnetization M and the internal energy U as

$$M = -\frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\xi} = N\tanh\left(\frac{\beta JzM}{N} - \xi\right), \tag{III.3}$$

$$U = -\frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\beta} = -\frac{JzM^2}{2N}. \tag{III.4}$$

The equation (III.3) is the self-consistent equation and (III.4) gives a relation between U and M which should be kept all the time. The entropy is given by

$$S = \Psi - \beta\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\beta} - \xi\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\xi} = \Psi + k\beta U + k\xi M, \tag{III.5}$$

which derives its total derivative as

$$dS = k\beta dU + k\xi dM. \tag{III.6}$$

It means thermodynamic state space for this spin system is $\{(U, M)\}$ and the conjugate momenta p_U and p_M are

$$p_U = \frac{\partial S}{\partial U} = k\beta, \qquad p_M = \frac{\partial S}{\partial M} = k\xi. \tag{III.7}$$

Additionally, (III.6) has an information on the relation between the parameter ξ and the magnetic field h. From thermodynamic prediction, the energy change should be given by

$$dU = TdS - hdM, \qquad \text{or} \qquad dS = k\beta dU + k\beta h dM. \tag{III.8}$$

Thus, we have $\xi = \beta h$.

The self-consistent equation (III.3) is a candidate for virial relation. However, it should not contain the statistical quantity N inherently, since virial relation is a concept of thermodynamics. From the relation (III.4), we have

$$m = \frac{M}{N} = -\frac{2U}{JzM}, \tag{III.9}$$

which should take a value between ± 1. Substituting it into (III.3) to get rid of N, we obtain our virial equation for the mean field Ising model:

$$\beta\frac{2U}{M} + \xi = \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{2U}{JzM}\right). \tag{III.10}$$

It transforms into

$$\frac{2U}{kM}\frac{\partial S}{\partial U} + \frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial S}{\partial M} = \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{2U}{JzM}\right), \tag{III.11}$$

after substituting the derivatives of S for (β, ξ) in (III.10) using (III.7). This is the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the Ising model in mean field approximation.

By solving the partial differential equation (III.11) directly, assuming homogeneity of S with respect to (U, M), we find the general solution for the entropy as

$$S = kM\tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{2U}{JzM}\right) + \frac{kJzM^2}{4U}\log\left(1 - \left(\frac{2U}{JzM}\right)^2\right) + a\frac{M^2}{U}, \tag{III.12}$$

where a is an arbitrary constant. The last term is set to be a linear function of $\dfrac{M^2}{U}$ because of the extensive property of the entropy. When U takes a value 0, this term pushes the entropy out to infinity, which makes it unphysical. Therefore we choose $a = 0$ for a physical solution. Its (U, M)-dependence is illustrated in FIG. 1. The vacant region in the middle indicates the non-allowed combination of U and M to be an argument of $\tanh^{-1}$. After



FIG. 1. Entropy as a function of U and M ($k = Jz = 1$).

evaluating (III.7) and substituting (III.4), we obtain

$$\beta = -\frac{JzM^2}{4U^2}\log\left(1-\left(\frac{2U}{JzM}\right)^2\right) = -\frac{1}{Jzm^2}\log\left(1-m^2\right), \tag{III.13}$$

$$\xi = \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{2U}{JzM}\right) + \frac{JzM}{2U}\log\left(1-\left(\frac{2U}{JzM}\right)^2\right) = -\tanh^{-1} m - \frac{1}{m}\log\left(1-m^2\right). \tag{III.14}$$

By substituting the above relations, the entropy (III.12) becomes

$$S = -kNm\tanh^{-1} m - \frac{kN}{2}\log\left(1-m^2\right), \tag{III.15}$$

which is identical to what is derived from (III.5) except for a constant term. The expression (III.12), or (III.13)-(III.14), has much more information than (III.15), since β and ξ are parametlized by m. It produces a curve in $\{(\beta, \xi, m)\}$ space as shown in FIG. 2, which resembles a partial curve of the famous cusp catastrophe surface. FIG. 3 shows the projection of FIG. 2 onto $\{(\beta, \xi)\}$ plane, and we observe a cusp exactly at the critical point $kT_c = Jz$ and $h = 0$ ($\beta = 1$ for $k = Jz = 1$). Since the energy U is also considered as a function of m, we can exhibit the combination (β, ξ, U) simultaneously (FIG. 4) to see a drastic change in the energy at the critical point. This fact gives a simple and new aspect of critical phenomenon as the singularity theory. Graphs illustrated in terms of (T, h) instead of (β, ξ) are displayed in FIGs 5-7.

Geometrically thinking, the critical exponents should be determined along the path to the critical point in this $\{(\beta, \xi, m)\}$ space. We verify that the curve in FIG. 2 is the path



FIG. 2. Solution curve in (β, ξ, m).



FIG. 3. Graph of (β, ξ).



FIG. 4. Graph of (β, ξ, U).

for the mean field approximation. The equations (III.13) and (III.14) expand as

$$\beta = \frac{1}{Jz} + \frac{m^2}{2Jz} + \frac{m^4}{3Jz} + \cdots, \tag{III.16}$$

$$\xi = \frac{m^3}{6} + \frac{2m^5}{15} + \cdots. \tag{III.17}$$

From the second equation, we have $\delta = 3$ for $m \propto |h|^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$. The reduced temperature t behaves as

$$-t := \frac{T_c - T}{T_c} = \frac{Jz\beta - 1}{Jz\beta} \simeq \frac{m^2}{2}, \tag{III.18}$$

so that it gives the exponent $\beta = \dfrac{1}{2}$ for $m \propto |t|^{\beta}$. The differential $\dfrac{d\xi}{dm} = \dfrac{d\beta}{dm}h + \beta\dfrac{dh}{dm}$ leads



FIG. 5. Solution curve in (T, h, m).



FIG. 6. Graph of (T, h).



FIG. 7. Graph of (T, h, U).

the magnetic susceptibility χ to

$$\chi = \left.\frac{dm}{dh}\right|_{h=0} = \frac{\beta m^2(1-m^2)}{m^2 + (1-m^2)\log(1-m^2)} \simeq \frac{\beta}{1 - Jz\beta} = \frac{1}{kT - kT_c}. \tag{III.19}$$

Thus we have $\gamma = 1$ for $\chi \propto |t|^{-\gamma}$. The specific heat C becomes

$$C = \frac{dU}{dT} = -\frac{JzN}{2}\frac{d(m^2)}{dT} \simeq -JzN\frac{d}{dT}\left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c}\right) = kN, \tag{III.20}$$

by substituting (III.4) and (III.18), and we get $\alpha = 0$ for $C \propto |t|^{-\alpha}$. All these exponents are the same as the standard results.



FIG. 8. Graph of (β, ξ) for $h = 0$.

An exact solution for the higher-dimensional Ising model with non-zero magnetic field would define an exotic surface as the cusp catastrophe surface in $\{(\beta, \xi, m)\}$ space by considering the equation $m = -\dfrac{1}{kN}\dfrac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi}$. However, it generally cannot define the unique exponents since there are infinite numbers of paths approaching the critical point. In contrast, the mean field Ising model gives a curve as seen in FIG. 2, so that we can define the unique exponents.

The external magnetic field h, or ξ, is set to be nonzero throughout the section 3, since this assumption is required to make the critical phenomenon happen. Here, we remark on a mathematical solution of the self-consistent equation (III.3) for $h = 0 : \ m = \tanh(\beta J z m)$. The corresponding entropy satisfies the relation $\dfrac{\partial S}{\partial M} = 0$, which gives $S = \lambda U$ for some constant λ due to its extensive property. For $\beta J z \leq 1$, the only solution of the self-consistent equation is $m = 0$. We have $S = 0$ from the relation (III.15). If $\beta J z > 1$, there exist $m \neq 0$ solutions and the entropy has a nonzero value. Compared to the relation (III.7), we have $\lambda = k\beta$. Therefore the parameter λ is restricted by $\lambda > \dfrac{k}{Jz}$. FIG.8 shows its graphical description. Though it is not an interesting solution, it obviously expresses a physical situation with no magnetic field.

IV. DISCUSSION

We calculate the entropy of the Ising model in the mean field approximation as a Hamilton's principal function on thermodynamic state space $\{(U, M)\}$. Despite the fact that the Ising model is a statistical model, this formalism push the site number N away from the last results. It extracts the thermodynamical state, which has a clear singularity at the critical point. The critical exponents are uniquely determined along the solution curve. Standard calculations in various textbooks unknowingly assume this curve to derive the

correct exponents.

The solution curves, depicted in FIGS.1-4, are also considered to be a map $m \mapsto (\beta(m), \xi(m))$. The rank of the corresponding Jacobi matrix goes to zero in $m \to 0$ limit. Thus $m = 0$ is a critical point in terms of the singularity theory. $(\beta, \xi) = \left(\frac{1}{kT_c}, 0\right)$ is the critical value. It suggests that physical critical phenomena can be studied by the singularity theory, and it also helps us acquire a more intuitive and simple geometric view.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof. E. Tanaka, Prof. M. Morikawa and the astrophysics and cosmology lab (Ochanomizu university) for support and encouragements.

---

[1] J. W. Milnor, *Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, USA (1965).

[2] R. Thom, *Stabilité structurelle et morphogénèse*, Benjamin (1972).

[3] V. I. Arnol'd, S. M. Gusein-Zade and A. N. Varchenko, *Singularities of Differentiable Maps*, Volume 1, Birkhäuser (1985).

[4] S. Izumiya and G. Ishikawa, *Singularity Theory and its Applications*, Amer Mathematical Society (2007).

[5] Caratheodory, Untersuchungen über die Grundlagen der Thermodynamik, Math. Ann. 67, 355-386 (1909).

[6] D. R. Snow, Caratheodory-Hamilton-Jacobi Theory in Optimal Control, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 17, 99-118 (1967).

[7] R. Hermann, *Geometry, physics, and systems*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, (1973).

[8] H. Janyszek and R. Mrugala, Geometrical structure of the state space in classical statistical and phenomenological themodynamics, Rep. Math. Phys. 27, 145-159 (1989).

[9] G. Ruppeiner, Riemannian geometry in thermodynamical fluctuation theory, Rev. Modern Phys. 67, 605-659 (1995).

[10] Y. Suzuki, Finsler geometry in classical physics, J. College Arts Scie. Chiba Univ. 2, 12-16 (1956).

[11] T. Ootsuka, New covariant Lagrange formulation for field theories, arXiv:1206.6040v1.

[12] T. Ootsuka, R. Yahagi, M. Ishida and E. Tanaka, Energy-momentum conservation laws in Finsler/Kawaguchi Lagrangian formulation , Class. Quantum Grav. 32, 165016 (2015).

[13] T. Ootsuka, Finsler structure in thermodynamics and statistical mechanics, AMAPN 26, 377-382 (2010).

[14] C. Lanczos, *The variational principlesof mechanics*, Dover, New York (1986).

[15] E. Tanaka, thesis, Parameter invariant lagrangian formulation of Kawaguchi geometry, arXiv:1310.4450v1.

[16] M. Matsumoto, *Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*, Kaiseisha, (1986).

[17] D. Bao, S. S. Chern, and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry*, Springer (2000).